

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

*

CRIMINAL DOCKET NO. 11-215

v.

*

SECTION: B

ANDRES PEREZ-BUSTILLO

*

a/k/a Andres Perez

a/k/a Daniel Dolores Sanches

*

a/k/a Samuel Oliva Flores

a/k/a Andres Eustillo Perez

*

a/k/a Carlos Anival Sandoval

* * *

FACTUAL BASIS

Should this matter have gone to trial, the government would have proven, through the introduction of competent testimony and admissible, tangible exhibits, the following facts, beyond a reasonable doubt, to support the allegations in the indictment now pending against the defendant:

The Defendant, **ANDRES PEREZ-BUSTILLO** (hereinafter “**BUSTILLO**”) has agreed to plead guilty as charged to the one-count indictment charging him with illegal reentry of a removed alien in violation of Title 8, United States Code, Section 1326(a).

A U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (hereinafter “ICE”) immigration enforcement agent (hereinafter “agent”) would testify that on or about August 24, 2011, he encountered the defendant, **BUSTILLO**, in Orleans Parish, in the Eastern District of Louisiana. Upon determining the defendant was illegally in the United States, the defendant was detained and arrested by an ICE agent.

The agent would testify that record checks through various United States Department of Homeland Security databases revealed that the defendant was a citizen of Mexico and illegally present in the United States.

Documentation from the records of ICE, contained in the defendant’s Alien file, including a Warrant of Removal/Deportation, complete with the defendant’s fingerprints, photographs and signature, would demonstrate that the defendant, **BUSTILLO**, was removed from the United States to Mexico on or about December 19, 2007, at or near Nogales Arizona. A qualified ICE Fingerprint Specialist would testify that the fingerprints of the individual documented in the Alien file containing the Warrant of Removal/Deportation and the fingerprints of the defendant are the same. Documentation from the Alien file would further show that the defendant is an alien, and not a citizen or national of the United States.

Testimony of an official from U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services regarding record checks conducted through the Computer Linked Application Information Management System would show that the defendant, **BUSTILLO**, did not receive consent from the United States Attorney General or his designated successor, the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security, to apply for readmission or receive permission to reenter the United States since the time of the defendant’s previous removal.

Further documents, court records, and other admissible evidence would show that on or about June 29, 1991, in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, the defendant, **BUSTILLO**, was convicted of assault with a firearm in violation of Section 245(a)(2) of the California Penal Code.

JOSEPH LA ROCCA
Special Assistant United States Attorney

Date

ANDRES PEREZ-BUSTILLO
Defendant

Date

ROMA KENT
Attorney for Defendant

Date